



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat

Date: 25 February 2005
Ref: CDM-EB-18

EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM
EIGHTEENTH MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 23 – 25 February 2005

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members present at the meeting are bolded below. Where only the name of an alternate member is bolded, the alternate participated as a member.

Member	Alternate
Mr. John W. Ashe ¹	Ms. Desna M. Solofa¹
Mr. Jean-Jacques Becker²	Ms. Gertraud Wollansky²
Mr. Martin Enderlin¹	Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr¹
Ms. Sushma Gera²	Mr. Mahasaru Fujitomi²
Mr. John Shaibu Kilani²	Mr. Ndiaye Cheikh Sylla²
Mr. Xuedu Lu¹	Mr. Juan Pablo Bonilla¹
Mr. José Domingos Miguez²	Mr. Clifford Anthony Mahlun²
Mr. Richard Muyungi¹	Mr. Hernán Carlino¹
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi²	Ms. Liana Bratasida²
Ms. Marina Shvangiradze¹	Ms. Anastassia Moskalenko¹

¹ Term: Two years (elected at COP 9 in 2003)

² Term: Two years (elected at COP 10 in 2004)

NB: The term of service of a member, or an alternate member, starts at the first meeting of the Executive Board in the calendar year following his/her election and ends immediately before the first meeting of the Executive Board in the calendar year in which the term ends. (see Rules of procedure of the Executive Board)

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers): **10** (7) members/alternate members acting as members present of which **4** (3) from Annex I Parties and **6** (4) from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://unfccc.int/cdm>

**Agenda item 1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) elected Ms. Sushma Gera and Mr. Xuedu Lu as Chair and Vice-Chair, respectively, of the Executive Board until the first meeting of the Board in 2006.
2. On behalf of the Board, the new Chair expressed deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair, Mr. John Kilani, and Vice-Chair, Mr. Georg Børsting, for their excellent leadership during the third year of the Board's operations.
3. The Chair welcomed new members and alternates and took note of their oaths of service.
4. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member present at the meeting.
5. The Board noted that the secretariat was informed that Mr. John W. Ashe was unable to attend the meeting due to official duties.

Agenda item 2. Adoption of the agenda

6. The Board adopted the agenda as proposed.

Agenda item 3. Work plan

7. The Board took note of the guidance of the COP in its decision 12/CP.10 and of the priorities outlined in the decision for its work in 2005 as well as issues arising from decision 14/CP.10.

Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of operational entities

8. The Board took note of the progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) presented by Ms. Marina Shvangiradze, Vice Chair of the CDM-AP. The report summarized information relating to the work and number of the meetings of the CDM-AP which was complemented with information on the status of applications and developments with respect to desk reviews and on-site assessments.
9. The Board considered the recommendations contained in the progress report and took note of the issuance by the CDM-AP of five (5) "indicative letters"¹ to:
 - (a) JACO CDM Ltd. (previously JACO)
 - (b) KPMG Sustainability B.V.
 - (c) Spanish Association for Standardization and Certification (AENOR)
 - (d) RWTUV Systems (RWTUV)
 - (e) Lloyds Register for Quality Assurance (LRQA)
10. The Board took note of the issuance of indicative letters for additional scope(s) to:
 - (a) Det Norske Veritas (DNV) for scope 15 (agric ulture)

¹ In accordance with the "Procedure for accrediting operational entities by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (Version 03)", an indicative letter is issued by the CDM-AP if it concludes that an applicant entity has satisfied the desk review and on-site requirements.



(b) TÜV Industrie Service GmbH, TÜV Süd Gruppe (TÜV Süd) for scopes 14 and 15 (afforestation and reforestation and agriculture).

11. The Board agreed, pursuant to decisions 17/CP.7 and 21/CP.8, to accredit and provisionally designate for sector-specific validation three applicant entities:

- (a) JACO CDM, Ltd. (previously JACO), for the sectoral scope(s):
 - (i) 1. Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources);
 - (ii) 2. Energy distribution;
 - (iii) 3. Energy demand.
- (b) TÜV Industrie Service GmbH, TÜV Süd Gruppe (TÜV Süd) for the sectoral scope(s):
 - (i) 13. Waste handling and disposal;
 - (ii) 15. Agriculture.
- (c) “Société Générale de Surveillance UK Ltd. (SGS UK Ltd.)” for the sectoral scope(s):
 - (i) 1. Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources);
 - (ii) 2. Energy distribution;
 - (iii) 3. Energy demand.

12. The Board took note of the first meeting of DOEs and AEs, facilitated by the secretariat, which took place in Buenos Aires in December 2004. The Board looks forward to receiving a report from the DOEs and AEs on action resulting from that meeting.

13. The Board announced that a call for experts will be made in March 2005 in order to replace two outgoing members of the CDM-AP with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board not later than at its nineteenth meeting. The Board noted that in accordance with the Board’s decision at its thirteenth meeting, experts appointed to replace AP members shall, if possible, not come from the same region as the members that remain in office. The Board encourages, however, candidates from all regions to apply.

14. The Board took note of the withdrawal of the applicant entity Nexant Inc..

15. Bearing in mind that approved methodologies (including for small-scale CDM project activities and consolidated methodologies) may be linked to several sectoral scopes, the Board agreed to clarify that a DOE, in order to assume its functions for validation and registration for a proposed CDM project activity, has to be accredited for all sectoral scope(s) to which the project activity is linked.

16. The Board requested the CDM-AP to submit to the Board, at its nineteenth meeting, a proposal on means to enhance the performance of DOES, bearing in mind the panel’s previous proposal on this regard.

Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

17. The Board considered the report of the fourteenth meeting of the Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (Meth Panel) and the oral update by Mr. Jean Jacques Becker, Chair of the Panel.



18. The Board considered a draft of “Procedures for the revision of an approved methodology” and requested Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr to further consult with Board members and alternates and prepare a revised proposal for the further consideration of the Board at its nineteenth meeting.

19. The Board took note of a presentation by Mr. Martin Enderlin and Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr of a draft proposal on how to improve the process of consideration and approval of proposed new methodologies and requested the Chairs of the panels on methodologies and accreditation as well as other members and alternate members to submit electronically comments so that Mr. Martin Enderlin, Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi and Mr. José Domingos Miguez may further develop the proposal for consideration for the Board at its nineteenth meeting.

20. Bearing in mind that the use of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” is intended to facilitate the process of submitting methodologies, and recalling that the use of the tool is not mandatory for preparing methodologies, the Board agreed that project participants wishing to make use of this tool in submitting a proposed new methodology shall make reference to the tool itself and not reproduce the text. Project participants are encouraged, however, to suggest further details on how to implement this tool with regard to specific project types covered by the proposed methodology. If project participants suggest such further details in the proposed methodology, they should make reference to the tool and reproduce only those section(s) of the additionality tool which they propose to modify, clearly highlighting the proposed changes and/or additions to the tool.

21. The Board considered the recommended reformatted revision of the approved methodology AM0001 by the Meth Panel and requested the Meth Panel to further consider a definition of production capacity of HCFC 22 facilities, developed in the context of the Montreal Protocol, and make a recommendation to the Board at its nineteenth meeting. Based on the recommendation by the Meth Panel, the Board shall agree on a revised version of AM0001 at its nineteenth meeting.

22. The Board agreed with the need to develop the consolidated methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from biomass project activities and requested the Meth Panel to prepare a draft consolidated methodology for the consideration of the Board at its nineteenth meeting. The Board acknowledged the need for revising methodology AM0004 (Grid-connected biomass power generation that avoids uncontrolled burning of biomass) in order to explicitly stipulate that for power generation capacity of more than 15 MW a combined margin should apply and that the system average may be used only by project activities with power generation capacity of less than 15 MW. The Board agreed that once the consolidated methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from biomass project activities is available, it should replace AM0004.

23. The Board agreed with the recommendations by the Meth Panel to revise methodology AM0013 (Forced methane extraction from organic waste-water treatment plants for grid-connected) and requested the Meth Panel to prepare a revised version of this methodology for consideration of the Board at its nineteenth meeting.

24. The Board took note that the Meth Panel and the afforestation and reforestation working group (AR WG) will prepare a common recommendation regarding the definition of renewable biomass and the treatment of non renewable biomass for the Board’s consideration.



25. Taking into consideration recommendations by the Meth Panel and by desk reviewers as well as sixteen (16) public inputs, the Board considered eighteen (18) proposals for new baseline and monitoring methodologies and agreed on the following recommendations:

NM0040: “Replacement of Fossil Fuel by Palm Kernel Shell Biomass in the production of Portland Cement” and **NM0048-rev:** “Indocement Sustainable Cement Production Project - Alternative Fuel Component”

26. The Board agreed to approve the proposed baseline and monitoring methodologies contained of proposals NM0040 and NM0048-rev, respectively. For ensuring consistency, these two methodologies have been consolidated into one document as they had similar applicability and similar methodological steps. The reformatted version of these methodologies shall be forwarded for consideration and approval by the Board via listserv.

27. The Chairs of the Meth Panel and the CDM-AP informed the Board that these methodologies are linked to scope 4 (Manufacturing industries).

NM0042-rev case: “Energy Efficiency Improvements in Municipal Water Utilities in Karnataka, India - water pumping efficiency improvement”

28. The Board agreed to approve the proposed baseline and monitoring methodologies contained in proposal NM0042 rev and the reformatted version of these methodologies as contained in annex 1 to this report.

29. The Chairs of the Meth Panel and the CDM-AP informed the Board that these methodologies are linked to scope 3 (Energy demand).

NM0061 case: “N₂O Emission Reduction in Onsan, Republic of Korea”

30. The Board agreed to approve the proposed baseline and monitoring methodologies contained in proposal NM0061 and the reformatted version of these methodologies as contained in annex 2 to this report.

31. The Chairs of the Meth Panel and the CDM-AP informed the Board that these methodologies are linked to scope 5 (Chemical industries).

NM0081 case: “Trupán Biomass Power Plant Project in Chile”

32. The Board agreed to approve the proposed baseline and monitoring methodologies contained in proposal NM0081 and that this methodology shall be reformatted as part of a consolidated methodology to cover issues relating to a wide range of biomass-fired project activities.

NM0031-rev2 case: “OSIL baseline methodology for electricity generation projects from utilization of waste-heat from waste-gases”

33. The Board agreed to postpone its consideration of the proposed baseline and monitoring methodologies contained in proposal NM0031-rev2 until a draft-reformatted version is available.

NM0041-rev: “Khorat Waste To Energy Project, Thailand”

34. The Board requested the Meth Panel to reconsider the applicability conditions of the methodology and requested further clarifications from the project participants as contained in annex 3.



35. The Board agreed that the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for the **cases NM0038 and NM0045-rev** may be reconsidered subject to:

(a) Required changes being made by the project participants, taking into account issues raised by the Board, recommendations made by the Meth Panel, and re-submission of a duly revised proposal. The secretariat shall make the revised proposal publicly available upon receipt;

(b) Reconsideration of the revised proposal directly by the Meth Panel, without further review by desk reviewers; and

(c) A recommendation by the Meth Panel being made to the Executive Board.

36. If project participants wish to have the revised proposals considered at the fifteenth meeting of the Meth Panel (4-8 April 2005) they shall submit them by 11 March 2005.

37. The Board agreed not to approve the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for **cases NM0029, NM0054, NM0057, NM0062, NM0067, NM0069, NM0073, NM0074 and NM0083**. The Board invites the project participants for these cases to consider the views and suggestions made, in particular with regard to CDM-NMB, CDM-NMB of the submitted draft CDM-PDD, and encourages them to make a further submission.

38. The Board announced that a call for experts will be made from 14 March 2005 to 11 April 2005 (17.00 GMT) in order to replace five outgoing members of the Meth Panel with a view to preparing a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its nineteenth meeting. Members currently serving the Meth Panel shall be included in the short list. The Board noted that experts appointed to replace Meth Panel members shall, if possible, not come from the same region as the members that remain in office. The Board encourages, however, candidates from all regions to apply.

39. The Board agreed to set the deadline for the tenth round for submissions of proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies to 19 April 2005.

40. The Board took note of the date for the fifteenth meeting of the Meth Panel (5 to 8 April 2005).

Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Issues relating to procedures for afforestation and reforestation project activities

41. The Board considered the report of the third meeting of the working group on afforestation and reforestation project activities under the CDM (A/R WG) and the oral update by Mr. Martin Enderlin, Vice-Chair of the working group.

42. The Executive Board expressed its deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair of the A/R WG, Mr. Eduardo Sanhueza, for his outstanding dedication and support to the work of the working group. The Board agreed to appoint a new Chair for the A/R WG at its nineteenth meeting and requested Mr. Enderlin to act as Chair of the A/R WG until then.

43. Taking into consideration recommendations by the A/R WG, the Board agreed on:

(a) “Clarifications regarding submissions of proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the CDM” contained in annex 4.

(b) Criteria to be used in the screening process to assess proposed new methodologies in accordance with paragraph 5 of the procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new methodologies for afforestation and reforestation project activities, as contained in annex 5 to this report.

44. Taking into consideration recommendations by the A/R WG and by desk reviewers as well as three (3) public inputs, the Board considered proposals for new baseline and monitoring methodologies



for afforestation and reforestation project activities ARNM0001 and ARNM0002. The Board requested the A/R WG to revise these recommendations in consultation with the Meth Panel for being considered by the Board at its next meeting taking into consideration previous decisions of the Board with regard to additionality.

45. The Board agreed to revise the terms of reference of the A/R WG with the view to requesting:

(a) The A/RWG to assist the Board in the development of simplified methodologies for small-scale afforestation and reforestation project activities under the CDM in accordance with decision 14/CP.10;

(b) The Meth Panel to nominate a representative of the panel to participate in the meetings of the A/R WG in order to ensure consistency between methodology recommendations.

46. The Board announced that a call for experts will be made from 14 March 2005 to 11 April 2005 (17.00 GMT) in order to replace five outgoing members of the A/R WG with a view to preparing a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its nineteenth meeting. Members currently serving the A/R WG shall be included in the short list. The Board noted that experts appointed to replace A/R WG members shall, if possible, not come from the same region as the members that remain in office. The Board encourages, however, candidates from all regions to apply.

47. The Board considered the outcome of COP10, in particular the requests to the Board by the COP through its decision 14/CP.10 (“Simplified modalities and procedures for CDM small-scale afforestation and reforestation project activities”). The Board requested the A/R WG to develop the simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies as specified in appendix B of the above mentioned decision.

48. The Board agreed to set the deadline for the fourth round for submissions of proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for afforestation and reforestation project activities to 21 April 2005.

49. The Board took note that the date for the fourth meeting of the A/R WG will be determined once resources are available.

Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

50. The Board considered the report of the first meeting of the working group on proposed methodologies and project categories for small-scale CDM project activities (SSC WG) and the oral update by Mr. Richard Muyungi, Vice-Chair of the working group.

51. The Executive Board expressed its deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair of the SSC WG, Mr. Georg Børsting, for his outstanding dedication and support to the work of the working group. The Board designated Ms. Gertraud Wollansky as new Chair of the SSC WG and agreed that Mr. Richard Muyungi continues as Vice-Chair.

52. Taking into consideration issues raised in submissions received by the Board and the recommendations made by the SSC WG, the Board agreed on the amendments to Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities as contained annex 6 to this report.

53. The Board requested that the SSC WG further elaborate the simplified monitoring methodologies contained in the Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities in order to develop more specific calculations of emission reductions.



54. The Board, taking in consideration the recommendations by the SSC WG, requested the SSC WG to:

- (a) Develop guidelines for the SSC-PDD, similar to the guidelines available for the CDM-PDD, in order to facilitate submissions by project participants of small scale project activities;
- (b) Develop recommendations for bundled project activities.

55. The Board agreed on the need for an additional meeting of the SSC WG to take place in 2005 and took note of the date for the second meeting of the SSC WG (16 to 17 May 2005), subject to availability of resources.

Agenda sub-item 3 (e): Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

56. After consideration of the report of the review team, the Board agreed to register the following two project activities with modifications that have been incorporated by project participants and the relevant DOEs:

- (a) Project for GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 in Gujarat, India (0001);
- (b) HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan , Republic of Korea (0003).

57. The Board agreed that the registration of a project activity can take place without an Annex I Party² being involved at the stage of registration. Before an Annex I Party acquires CERs from such a project activity from an account within the CDM registry, it shall submit a letter of approval to the Board in order for the CDM Registry administrator to be able to forward CERs from the CDM registry to the Annex I national registry.

58. In accordance with the procedures for review as referred to in paragraph 41 of the CDM modalities³, taking into consideration requests for review made by Board members, the Board considered requests for registration of four (4) proposed CDM project activities and agreed on the following action:

“La Esperanza Hydroelectric Project” (0009):

59. The Board agreed to undertake a review of the “La Esperanza Hydroelectric Project”.

60. The Board further agreed that the scope of the review relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in Annex 7.

61. The Board requested Mr. José Miguez and Mr. Martin Enderlin to be responsible for supervising the review of this project activity and Mr. Clifford Anthony Mahlung and Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr to participate in the review team. The review team may call on outside expertise, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, as appropriate.

Cuyamapa Hydroelectric Project (0010)

62. The Board agreed that the request for registration by the DOE was not valid because the documentation was not complete for the proposed project activity “Cuyamapa Hydroelectric Project in Honduras (0010)” i.e. the information corresponding to the validation report had not been made available at the time the request for registration was submitted. In addition, the language used in the annexes to the CDM-PDD provided was not English, recalling that the working language of the Executive Board is English.

² Annex I Party to the Convention that is also a Party to the Kyoto Protocol.

³ As contained in Annex II to decision 18/CP.9 (see document FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.2).



63. After the submission of documentation is made, the period for requesting review will be re-started. In this particular case the registration fee does not have to be paid again and there is no need to proceed through the stage of comments at validation stage.

Graneros Plant Fuel Switching Project (0024)

64. The Board agreed to undertake a review of the “Graneros Plant Fuel Switching Project (0024)”.

65. The Board further agreed that the scope of the review relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in Annex 8.

66. The Board requested Mr. Jean Jacques Becker and Mr. Richard Muyungi to be responsible for supervising the review of this project activity and Mr. Hernán Carlino and Ms. Anastasia Moskalenko to participate in the review team. The review team may call on outside expertise, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, as appropriate.

Olavarria Landfill Gas Recovery Project (00029)

67. The Board agreed to undertake a review of the “Olavarria Landfill Gas Recovery Project (00029)”.

68. The Board further agreed that the scope of the review relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in Annex 9.

69. The Board requested Ms. Sushma Gera and Mr. Xuedu Lu to be responsible for supervising the review of this project activity and Mr. Juan Pablo Bonilla and Mr. Mahasaru Fujitomi to participate in the review team. The review team may call on outside expertise, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, as appropriate.

Agenda sub-item 3 (f): CDM registry

70. The Board took note of a presentation by the secretariat on areas for which procedures need to be developed in relation to the CDM registry, and agreed to request the secretariat to prepare a comprehensive package of draft procedures for its consideration at its nineteenth meeting.

71. The Board was reminded that sufficient resources need to be made available to the secretariat for the timely development of draft procedures for the operation of the CDM registry and the development and programming of the CDM information system in order to be able to receive requests for issuance from DOEs, to record instructions of the Board regarding issuance and to forward appropriate information to the CDM registry administrator to perform issuance.

Agenda sub-item 3 (g): Modalities for collaboration with the SBSTA

72. The Board requested Ms. Anastassia Moskalenko and Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi to follow negotiations at SBSTA relating to registries and report on the outcome to the Board.

73. The Board further requested Mr. Martin Enderlin and Mr. José Domingos Miguez to follow negotiations at SBSTA relating to “Implications of the implementation of project activities under the clean development mechanism, referred to in decision 12/CP.10, for the achievement of objectives of other environmental conventions and protocols” and report on the outcome to the Board.

Agenda item 4. Resources for the work on the CDM

74. The Board took note of a presentation by the secretariat on the status of resource requirements as well as income and expenditure in 2005 to date. It expressed its appreciation to Parties which have recently contributed or pledged further contributions to the prompt start of the CDM.



75. Current income amounts to USD 1.81 million which represents approximately one third of the budgeted USD 5.5 million required for CDM activities in 2005. Expenditure to date in 2005 amounts to USD 0.83 million, with all of the remaining resources being obligated. Resources currently available for the CDM from the Kyoto Protocol Interim Allocation amount to less than 15 percent of the budgeted figure for 2005. As a result of this gap in resources, the Board agreed to call the special attention of Parties to the need to continue making contributions for the prompt start of the CDM to the UNFCCC Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities in order to ensure the sustained availability of resources for the increased activity levels anticipated in 2005. If such resources are not made available in the near future, a number of critical activities planned for 2005 will have to be cancelled. In this context, the Board agreed to cancel its meeting initially scheduled for April 2005.

76. The Board expressed its appreciation to the Executive Secretary for her support to its work and welcomed her commitment to raising resources for the work on the CDM, including through a joint letter with the Chair of the Executive Board to Ministers. The Executive Secretary welcomed the suggestion to provide letters of appreciation to outgoing officers of the CDM Executive Board.

Agenda item 5. Other matters

Agenda sub-item 5 (a): Rules of procedure of the Executive Board

77. The Board took note of annex II to decision 12/CP.10, and requested the secretariat to post the revised rules of procedure with the amendments agreed by COP 10 on the UNFCCC CDM website. The Board also agreed to keep its rules of procedure under review.

78. The Board took note that the secretariat is still in the process of consulting experts on legal issues and will inform the Board prior to the next meeting or at the nineteenth meeting on its findings.

Agenda sub-item 5 (b): Relationship with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations (registered accredited observers)

79. The Board met with registered observers for informal briefings on 25 February 2005 and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated. These meetings are available on web cast.

80. The Board took note of CDM-related events attended by Board members and alternates since the last meeting.

81. The Board took note with appreciation of inputs received from Parties, IGOs and NGOs to its work.

82. The Board further agreed to continue to meet with the same type of arrangement as at its eighteenth meeting, with space being made available for 70 observers, and to reconsider the issue when necessary. Observers to the nineteenth meeting of the Executive Board shall have registered with the secretariat by 20 April 2005, no later than 17:00 GMT. For reasons of security and logistical arrangements the Board emphasised that this deadline will be strictly enforced by the secretariat.

Agenda sub-item 5 (c): Other business

83. The Board agreed on the provisional agenda for its nineteenth meeting as contained in annex 10 to this report.

84. The Board agreed on a provisional schedule for its meetings in 2005 as contained in annex 11 to this report.



Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting

85. The Chair summarized the main conclusions.

Agenda sub-item 6 (a): Summary of decisions

86. Any decisions taken by the Board shall be made publicly available in accordance with paragraph 17 of the CDM modalities and procedures and with rule 31 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board.

Agenda sub-item 6 (b): Closure

87. The Chair closed the meeting.

**Annexes to the report:**

Annex 1: AM0020 “Methodology for water pumping efficiency improvements”(NM0042-rev)

Annex 2: AM0021 “Methodology for decomposition of N₂O from existing adipic acid production plants”(NM0061)

Annex 3: Request for clarifications to project participants in regard to the proposed new methodologies NM00041

Annex 4: Clarifications regarding submissions of proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the CDM

Annex 5: Criteria to be used in the screening process for AR proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies

Annex 6: Amendments to Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities

Annex 7: Scope of the review on “La Esperanza Hydroelectric Project” (0009)

Annex 8: Scope of the review on “Graneros Plant Fuel Switching Project” (00024)

Annex 9: Scope of the review on “Olavarria Landfill Gas Recovery Project” (00029)

Annex 10: Provisional agenda for the nineteenth meeting of the Executive Board

Annex 11: Tentative schedule of Executive Board meetings – 2005